United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

	V.)			
Geo	orge Baynes) Case No.	1:24-cr-00176-PAB-1		
	Defendant)			
	DETENTION ORDI	ER PENDING T	TRIAL.		
	DETERMION ORDI		NIII.		
	ng a detention hearing under the Bail ant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18	U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts		
		dings of Fact			
	-	_	42(f)(1) and has previously been convicted		
of \square a federa	al offense \Box a state or local offen	se that would have	ve been a federal offense if federal		
jurisdiction	had existed - that is				
	of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § the the prison term is 10 years or more		offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)		
□ an offen	se for which the maximum sentence	is death or life in	nprisonment.		
☐ an offen	se for which a maximum prison term	n of ten years or n	nore is prescribed in		
			.*		
•	committed after the defendant had be d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C),		•		
☐ any felo	ony that is not a crime of violence but	t involves:			
□ a m	inor victim				
□ the j	possession or use of a firearm or des	tructive device or	any other dangerous weapon		
□ a fa	ilure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	250			
, ,	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.				
☐ (3) A period of l	ess than five years has elapsed since	the \(\square \) date of	f conviction		
from prison	for the offense described in finding (1).			
()	Findings Nos. (1) , (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.				
	Alternative	Findings (A)			
\Box (1) There is pro	here is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense				
☐ for which	ch a maximum prison term of ten yea	rs or more is pres	scribed in .		
	8 U.S.C. § 924(c).	-			

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

			Northern District of Ohio			
□ (2)		The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.				
			Alternative Findings (B)			
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.					
(2)	There is a seri	ous risk that the defe	endant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.			
	I find that the tags		Statement of the Reasons for Detention ion submitted at the detention hearing establishes by			
		•	e ,			
convinci	ing evidence [☐ a preponderance o	of the evidence that			
recomme	endation of the Pret	rial Services Officer; a	t's prior arrests and convictions; his history of violent behavior and weapons use; the nd the breadth and depth of the allegations, charges, and weight of evidence conditions that will reasonably assure the safety of the community.			
		Part II	II—Directions Regarding Detention			
in a corr pending order of	rections facility so appeal. The deformation of the contract o	eparate, to the extent endant must be affor urt or on request of a	stody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement tracticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody rded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility as marshal for a court appearance.			
Date:	05/30/2	024	s/Jonathan D. Greenberg			
=			Judge's Signature			
			Jonathan D. Greenberg, United States Magistrate Judge			
			Name and Title			